



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,949	09/27/2000	Steven R. Tugenberg	GE04592	8330
22863	7590	06/02/2004	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC. CORPORATE LAW DEPARTMENT - #56-238 3102 NORTH 56TH STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85018			NOBAHAR, ABDULHAKIM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	2
DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/671,949	TUGENBERG ET AL. <i>[Signature]</i>
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Abdulhakim Nobahar	2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .   |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .                                   |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 4 states that "the non-volatile memory includes a portion internal to the integrated circuit chip and a portion external to the integrated circuit chip, and wherein the encrypted data is stored on the portion internal to the integrated circuit chip when the portion internal is available", which is not described in the specification. Appropriate correction is necessary.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janssen et al. (5,954,817; hereinafter Janssen) in view of Cassagnol et al. (6,385,727 B1; hereinafter Cassagnol).

Claims 1, and 12

Janssen discloses:

A secure processing system for a communication device (see col. 2, lines 10-41;

Fig. 1) comprising:

a host processor (col. 3, lines 3-25); and

a secure memory (col. 3, lines 3-25, ROM 210) coupled to the host processor  
(col. 3, lines 3-25, microprocessor 208) by a data bus (col. 3, lines 3-25, bus 220),

wherein the secure memory comprises:

a laser-scribed encryption key (col. 3, line 58-col. 4, line 6);

encryption logic circuitry (col. 1, lines 45-53; col. 3, lines 14-25) for implementing  
a symmetric encryption algorithm using the laser-scribed encryption key (col. 2, lines  
18-31; col. 3, lines 58-65; col. 5, lines 10-25);

a plurality of blocking gates coupling the encryption logic circuitry with the  
laser-scribed encryption key (col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 6, where disabling access to the  
secret key indicates that the memory system also includes logic gates coupled to the  
logic circuit as described in the mentioned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/730,188  
now U.S. patent No. 5,809,544); and

a memory (i.e., a non-secure memory coupled to host processor for storing  
encrypted data) (col. 3, lines 20-25),

Janssen, however, does not expressly disclose:

sensitive data is encrypted by the encryption logic circuitry using the laser-scribed  
encryption key and stored as encrypted data in a data storage medium, and

Art Unit: 2132

the encrypted data is decrypted by the encryption logic circuitry with the laser-scribed encryption key and transferred to the memory for use by the host processor.

Cassagnol teaches a secure processing environment (see abstract) that includes non-volatile memory, a logic circuit for controlling access to the data contained in the non-volatile memory, and a number of logic gates (col. 3, lines 37-55; col. 12, lines 16-25). Cassagnol further discloses an external memory for storing encrypted data (col. 9, lines 16-20). Cassagnol teaches that the encrypted data is imported from the external storage medium to the internal memory and decrypted to be used by the processor and re-encrypted for storage in the external memory (col. 4, lines 42-61; col. 9, lines 21-40; Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the encryption of sensitive data for exporting to and importing from an external memory as taught in Cassagnol in the method of Janssen using the laser-scribed key, because it would prevent unauthorized use of sensitive data by hackers (col. 8, lines 28-40).

## Claim 2

Cassagnol teaches:

wherein the memory is a zeroizable memory having a zeroizing input that causes the contents of the memory to be erased when a zeroize signal is received on the

Art Unit: 2132

zeroizing input (see col.14, lines 10-33, where the erasable memory corresponds to the recited zeroizable memory and the means to trigger erasure of the memory corresponds to the recited zeroizing input), and

wherein said zeroize signal is sent to the zeroizable memory by a system monitor upon the occurrence of one of a plurality of predetermined conditions (col. 12, lines 13-25; col. 13, lines 15-50).

Claim 3

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the host processor and secure memory are fabricated on an integrated circuit chip, and the encrypted data is stored in a non-volatile memory (col.3, lines 20-42).

Claim 4

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 3 wherein the non-volatile memory includes a portion internal to the integrated circuit chip and a portion external to the integrated circuit chip, and wherein the encrypted data is stored on the portion internal to the integrated circuit chip when the portion internal is available (See Fig. 2, where the non-volatile memory ROM 210 and ROM 210 are internal to the integrated circuit and non-volatile memory EEPROM is external).

Claim 5

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the blocking gates are comprised of logic gates and have a blocking control signal input preventing access to the laser-scribed encryption key by the encryption logic circuitry (col. 3, line 58-col. 4, lines 6).

Claim 5

Cassagnol teaches:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the blocking gates are comprised of logic gates and have a blocking control signal input preventing access to the laser-scribed encryption key by the encryption logic circuitry (col. 12, lines 15-25; col. 17, lines 15-30).

Claim 6

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the laser-scribed encryption key is stored in a one-time programmable memory element (col. 3, lines 58-63).

Claim 7

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the laser-scribed encryption key is stored in non-volatile memory selected from one of the group consisting of ROM, EEPROM, MRAM (Magnetoresistive RAM), battery backed RAM or DRAM and fast logic (col. 3, lines 58-63, where the memory 211 in Fig. 2 is a non-volatile ROM).

Claim 8

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the laser-scribed encryption key is generated by laser-scribing a semiconductor die during fabrication of the secure memory to create a plurality of fixed "ones" and "zeroes" which make up the laser scribed encryption key, and

wherein the laser-scribed encryption key has a value that is randomly generated and is unique for each secure memory of a plurality of secure memories of different processing systems (col. 1, lines 35-53; col. 3, lines 58-66).

Claim 8

Cassagnol discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the laser-scribed encryption key is generated by laser-scribing a semiconductor die during fabrication of the secure memory to create a plurality of fixed "ones" and "zeroes" which make up the laser scribed encryption key, and

wherein the laser-scribed encryption key has a value that is randomly generated and is unique for each secure memory of a plurality of secure memories of different processing systems (col. 3, lines 50-55; col. 5, line 45-col. 6, line 2; col. 12, lines 58-64; col. 30, lines 38-42).

Claim 9

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the laser-scribed encryption key is generated by burning one-time programmable fuses on a semiconductor die to create a plurality of fixed "ones" and "zeroes" which make up the laser-scribed encryption key, and

wherein the laser-scribed encryption key has a value that is randomly generated and is unique for each secure memory of a plurality of secure memories of different processing systems (col. 3, lines 55-66).

Claim 10

Janssen discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the symmetric encryption algorithm is a block cipher encryption algorithm (col. 2, lines 10-30; col. 5, lines 14-24).

Claim 10

Cassagnol discloses:

Art Unit: 2132

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the symmetric encryption algorithm is a block cipher encryption algorithm (col. 8, lines 5-20; col. 8, lines 35-40).

Claims 11 and 13

Cassagnol discloses:

The processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the host processor is coupled to an external memory (i.e., non-secure memory) having a secret key stored therein in encrypted form, the secret key being encrypted with the laser scribed encryption key, and said secret key being used for secure communication between the communication device and other communication devices (col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 6; col. 10, lines 37-65).

Claim 14

Cassagnol discloses:

The communication device as claimed in claim 12 wherein the communication device is a data communication device, and wherein the secret key is a private key unique to a user of the communication device and is part of a public-private key pair, the private key being used for decrypting data sent to said user, and wherein prior to using said secret key, said secret key being decrypted by encryption logic of the secure memory using the laser-scribed encryption key and stored in unencrypted form in a zeroizable memory (col. 12, lines 13-25; col. 13, lines 15-50; col. 14, lines 10-33; col. 19, lines 60-67; col. 20, lines 49-61).

Claim 15

Janssen discloses:

The communication device as claimed in claim wherein the data communication device is adapted for transmitting data to another communication device, and wherein the secret key is further used to generate a digital signature associated with said data, said digital signature being transmitted along with said data (col. 2, lines 18-65).

Claim 16

Janssen discloses:

The communication device as claimed in claim 12 wherein the communication device is a wireless communication device for communicating secured voice, and wherein the secret key is used for generating a common session key for communicating with another communication device, and wherein prior to using said secret key, said secret key being decrypted by encryption logic of the secure memory using the laser-scribed encryption key and stored in unencrypted form in zeroizable memory (col. 1, lines 45-57; col. 2, lines 32-65).

Claim 17

Cassagnol discloses:

The communication device as claimed in claim 12 wherein the secret key is one of a plurality of secret encryption keys stored in encrypted form in the non-secure memory, the plurality of secret keys being encrypted with the laser-scribed encryption key, and

Art Unit: 2132

wherein one of the secret keys of the plurality is selected for secure communication between the communication device and other communication device, and wherein a zeroizable memory is cleared after communication with the other communication device, and

wherein prior to using said selected secret key, said selected secret key is decrypted by the encryption logic using the laser-scribed encryption key and stored in unencrypted form in the zeroizable memory (col. 3, line 64-col. 4, line 6; col. 12, lines 13-25; col. 13, lines 15-50; col. 14, lines 10-33; col. 19, lines 60-67; col. 20, lines 49-61).

Claim 18

Cassagnol discloses:

The communication device as claimed in claim 12 wherein the secure memory further comprises:

a plurality of blocking gates coupled to the laser-scribed encryption key (col. 12, lines 15-25);

encryption logic circuitry for implementing a symmetric encryption algorithm using the laser-scribed encryption key and coupled to the blocking gates (col. 13, lines 51-65); and

a zeroizable memory coupled to the encryption logic circuitry (see col. 14, lines 10-33, where the erasable memory corresponds to the recited zeroizable memory),

- Art Unit: 2132

wherein sensitive data is encrypted by the encryption logic circuitry using the laser-scribed encryption key and stored as encrypted data in the non secure memory, and

wherein the encrypted data is decrypted by the encryption logic circuitry with the laser-scribed encryption key and transferred to the zeroizable memory for use by the host processor (col. 4, lines 42-61; col. 9, lines 21-40; Fig. 2).

Claim 19

Janssen discloses:

A method of using secure information utilizing a secure communication device (see col. 2, lines 10-41; Fig. 1), the secure communication device comprising a host processor (col. 3, lines 3-25), a secure memory coupled to the host processor by a data bus (col. 3, lines 3-25), and a non-secure memory coupled to host processor for storing encrypted data (col. 3, lines 3-25), wherein the secure memory includes a laser-scribed encryption key stored therein (col. 2, lines 18-31; col. 3, lines 58-65; col. 5, lines 10-25).

Janssen, however, does not expressly disclose:

encrypting sensitive data within the secure memory using the laser-scribed encryption key; storing the encrypted sensitive data in the non-secure memory; decrypting the encrypted sensitive data within the secure memory using the laser-scribed encryption key; and  
storing the decrypted sensitive data within the secure memory for use by the host processor.

Art Unit: 2132

Cassagnol teaches a secure processing environment (see abstract) that includes non-volatile memory, a logic circuit for controlling access to the data contained in the non-volatile memory, and a number of logic gates (col. 3, lines 37-55; col. 12, lines 16-25). Cassagnol further discloses an external memory for storing encrypted data (col. 9, lines 16-20). Cassagnol teaches that the encrypted data is imported from the external storage medium to the internal memory and decrypted to be used by the processor and re-encrypted for storage in the external memory (col. 4, lines 42-61; col. 9, lines 21-40; Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the encryption of sensitive data for exporting to and importing from an external memory as taught in Cassagnol in the method of Janssen using the laser-scribed key, because it would prevent unauthorized use of sensitive data by hackers (col. 8, lines 28-40).

#### Claim 20

Cassagnol discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 19 wherein the secure memory includes blocking gates coupled between encryption logic circuitry and the laser-scribed encryption key (col. 12, lines 15-25), and a zeroizable memory coupled to the encryption logic circuitry (see col. 14, lines 10-33, where the erasable memory corresponds to the recited zeroizable memory), and wherein the storing step comprises storing the decrypted

Art Unit: 2132

sensitive data within the zeroizable memory (col. 4, lines 42-61; col. 9, lines 21-40; Fig. 2), and wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

disabling the blocking gates during the encrypting and decrypting steps (col. 12, lines 15-25; col. 17, lines 15-30); and

zeroizing the zeroizable memory after the host processor is through using the decrypted sensitive data stored in the zeroizable memory (col. 12, lines 13-25; col. 13, lines 15-50).

### Claim 21

Cassagnol discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 20 further comprising the step of enabling the blocking gates preventing the encryption logic circuitry from accessing the laser scribed encryption key, the step of enabling being performed upon completion of the decrypting step (col. 12, lines 15-25).

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5,442,704 to Holtey

US Patent No. 5,732,245 to Lee et al.

US Patent No. 5,237,609 to Kimura

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdulhakim Nobahar whose telephone number is 703-305-8074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*A.N.*  
Abdulhakim Nobahar  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2132

AN  
May 28, 2004

*Gilbert B*  
GILBERTO BARRON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100